

**Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee**  
**of the**  
**Sanford “Sandy” Krasnoff Criminal Justice Council**

MEETING AGENDA

THURSDAY, August 31, 2017

1:30pm – 3:00pm

- 
- |      |  |                      |
|------|--|----------------------|
| I.   | Welcome and Opening Remarks                                      | Judge Calvin Johnson |
| II.  | Purpose of the Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee                | Judge Calvin Johnson |
| III. | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter Performance Measures | Subcommittee Members |

## **Criminal Justice Council Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee Minutes**

**August 31, 2017**

On August 31, the Electronic Monitoring (EM) Subcommittee of the Criminal Justice Council convened at 1:37pm in the 8<sup>th</sup> floor Homeland Security Conference Room in City Hall. The following persons were present/absent:

### **Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee Members**

#### **Present**

Calvin Johnson, Commissioner (Chair) – OCJC  
Aaron Clark-Rizzio, Executive Director – LCCR  
Mark Doherty, Judge - OPJC  
Lance Cardwell for Ed Quatrevaux, Inspector General – OIG  
Jenerio Sanders, Lt. for Superintendent Michael Harrison – NOPD  
Tyrell Morris for Shinar Haynes, Director of Operations – OPCD  
Alison Poort for Susan Guidry, Councilmember – City Council

#### **Arrived Later**

Germaine Simon, Director – Youth Study Center  
Ralph Brandt, Assistant District Attorney – DA's Office  
Shinar Haynes, Director of Operations – OPCD

### **Office of Criminal Justice Coordination Staff**

#### **Present:**

Amanda Simpkins  
Du Bois Winford

### **I. Welcome and Opening Remarks**

Commissioner Calvin Johnson called the meeting to order at 1:37pm. Welcoming everyone to the inaugural meeting for the Electronic Monitoring Program, the Commissioner called roll and made his opening remarks. The Subcommittee members went around the table and made formal introductions and greetings to the group.

### **II. Purpose of the Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee**

Commissioner Johnson described to the Subcommittee members the vision, goals, and direction of the EM program (EMP). The Commissioner described the programs purpose and how an effective execution of the program should to look.

### **III. 1st and 2nd Quarter Performance Measures**

Ms. Jetuan Griffin of OPJC presented "Evaluation of Electronic Monitoring Program" which analyzed key data points in EMP during its pilot period of January 2017 to August 2017. Comments and discussion on the presentation are as follows:

- Judge Mark Doherty of OPJC suggested that a data point for violent crime releases that made bond to EMP be added (will be added for the next presentation).
- There was discussion surrounding the purpose of EMP, who assigns youths to EMP, and the inclusionary/exclusionary aspects and parental responsibilities between Mr. Aaron Clark-Rizzio of LCCR, Ms. Meg Garvey, Ms. Griffin, the Commissioner, and public comments.
- Judge Doherty offered some clarity stating that this is not the old program; it is more monitored and responsive and responsible.
- Ms. Meg Garvey of LCCR asked if there was a breakdown of flexibility in constraints (conditions like curfew versus house arrest). Mrs. Griffin responded in the affirmative and added that judges hear concerns and sets judgements.
- Mr. Clark-Rizzio questioned the methodology of how cost savings were being calculated based on eligibility to make bond.
- Discussion ensued on whether or not bonds would be higher if EMP was not available; this resulted in a request for individual RAI scores and following data points were identified:
  - RAI Score
  - Who bonded out
  - What other conditions were placed on their release
  - What percent are placed on EMP at the hearing versus at the detention?

Ms. Shinar Haynes of OPCD presented an analysis of key data points in responses to violation notifications in the EM program for the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> quarters. Comments and discussion on the presentation are as follows:

- Mr. Ralph Brandt of OPDA inquired about where notifications coded as RTF (Report to Follow) go to which LT Jenerio Sanders of NOPD informed him that they are routed to the DA's Office
- Discussion followed to investigate how, it at all, violations impact NOPD response times and resources, what's the process for responding to mistriggers, and should there be a cost analysis for the contractor calling "911" for a "notated" mistrigger?

LT Sanders presented the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Performance Measures which detailed information about NOPD's responses to violation notifications.

Judge Doherty tabled his presentation until the next meeting.

Mr. Germaine Simon of Youth Study Center informally requested a sit down with key personnel to discuss plans of action in emergency situations.

Judge Doherty thanked the public for their attendance and comments. Judge Doherty added that William Walker should be considered, if possible, for a Community Member-at-Large seat on the Subcommittee. The Commissioner took it under advisement.

The meeting adjourned at 2:45pm.